# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

	<b>CHRISTINE</b>	REVEL	LE LA	RUE.
--	------------------	-------	-------	------

Plaintiff,

VS.

CASE NO.:

EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC; and TRANS UNION, LLC,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

# **COMPLAINT**

Christine Revelle LaRue ("Plaintiff") brings this Complaint against Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax") and Trans Union, LLC ("Trans Union") for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, costs, and attorney's fees, for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681, et seq., arising out of Trans Union's mixing of Plaintiff's credit file with another consumer's credit files, despite the fact that the other consumer has a different Social Security number and is deceased.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. The computerization of our society has resulted in a revolutionary increase in the accumulation and processing of data concerning individual citizens. Data technology, whether it be used by businesses, banks, the Internal Revenue Service or other institutions, allows information concerning individuals to flow immediately to requesting parties. Such timely information is intended to lead to faster and better decision-making by its recipients, and all of society should benefit from the resulting convenience and efficiency.
- 2. Unfortunately, however, this information has also become readily available for and subject to mishandling and misuse. Individuals can sustain substantial damage, both emotionally and economically, whenever inaccurate information or fraudulent information is disseminated about them.
- 3. The ongoing technological advances in the area of data processing have resulted in a boon for the companies that accumulate and sell data concerning individuals' credit histories and other personal information. Such companies are commonly known as consumer reporting agencies ("CRAs").
- 4. Theses CRAs sell to readily paying subscribers (i.e., retailers, landlords, lenders, automobile dealers, potential employers, and other similar interested parties) information commonly called "consumer reports," concerning

individuals who may be applying for retail credit, to lease an apartment, to obtain a mortgage, auto loan, employment, or the like.

- 5. "Credit is the lifeblood of the modern American economy, and for the American consumer access to credit has become inextricably tied to consumer credit scores as reported by credit reporting agencies." *Burke v. Experian Info. Sols., Inc.*, 2011 WL 1085874, at \*1 (E.D. Va. Mar. 18, 2011).
- 6. Congress made the following findings when it enacted the FCRA in 1970:
  - 1) The banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system.
  - 2) An elaborate mechanism has been developed for investigating and evaluating the credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, and general reputation of consumers.
  - 3) Consumer reporting agencies have assumed a vital role in assembling and evaluation consumer credit and other information on consumers.
  - 4) There is a need to ensure that consumer reporting agencies exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and a respect for the consumer's right to privacy.
- 15 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(1-4). Thus, one of the fundamental purposes of the FCRA is "to require that consumer reporting agencies adopt reasonable procedures for meeting the needs of commerce for consumer credit, personnel, insurance, and other

information in a manner which is fair and equitable to the consumer, with regard to the confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization of such information in accordance with the requirements of this subchapter." 15 U.S.C. § 1681(b). Accordingly, "[t]he FCRA evinces Congress' intent that consumer reporting agencies, having the opportunity to reap profits through the collection and dissemination of credit information, bear 'grave responsibilities'". *Cushman v. Trans Union*, 115 F.3d 220, 225 (3d Cir. 1997).

- 7. Since 1970, when Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* ("FCRA"), federal law has required CRAs to have in place and to utilize reasonable procedures "to assure the maximum possible accuracy" of the personal and financial information that they compile and sell about individual consumers.
- 8. "Mixed files" create a false description and representation of a consumer's credit history.
- 9. A "mixed file" occurs when personal and credit information belonging to Consumer B appears in one or more of Consumer A's credit files.
- 10. The Federal Trade Commission defined a mixed credit file as a file that "refers to a Consumer Report in which some or all of the information pertains to

Persons other than the Person who is the subject of that Consumer Report." *F.T.C.* v. *TRW*, *Inc.*, 784 F. Supp. 361, 362 (N.D. Tex. 1991).

- 11. Mixed files are not a new phenomenon. Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union have been on notice of the existence of mixed files, and the fact that their procedures for creating credit files, including their matching algorithms, are prone to frequently cause mixed files, for over thirty (30) years. *See Thompson v. San Antonia Retail Merchants Ass'n*, 682 F.2d 509, 511 (5th Cir. 1982).
- 12. More recently, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union have been the subject of numerous state attorney general actions relating to its mixed file problem.
- 13. For example, in 2015, the New York Attorney General filed charges and settled claims with Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union over mixed files. See In the Matter of Eric T. Schneiderman, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc.; Equifax Information Services, LLC; and Trans Union LLC.
- 14. Notwithstanding Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union's notice and being subject to repeated enforcement actions, mixed files continue to occur dispute

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2015/ag-schneiderman-announces-groundbreaking-consumer-protection-settlement-three Last visited January 24, 2021; *see also* https://ag.ny.gov/pdfs/CRA%20Agreement%20Fully%20Executed%203.8.15.pdf Last visited January 24, 2021.

consumers' unique personal identifying information, such as Social Security numbers, date of birth, and addresses.

- 15. Further, mixed files result in the disclosure of a consumers' most personal identifying and financial information absent the consumer's knowledge or consent, or both.
- 16. Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union have each been sued thousands of times wherein an allegation was made that Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union violated the FCRA. Moreover, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union are sued, at a minimum, hundreds of times each year wherein an allegation is made that they mixed a consumers' credit file with that of another person.
- 17. Private FCRA lawsuits have resulted in multi-million-dollar verdicts for consumers who fall victim to a mixed credit file.
- 18. For example, in 2002, the jury in *Judy Thomas v. Trans Union LLC*, District of Oregon, Case No. 00-1150-JE, found Trans Union had willfully violated the FCRA by mixing Judy Thomas's personal and credit information with another consumer's and failing to unmix them dispute Ms. Thomas' numerous disputes. The jury awarded Ms. Thomas \$300,000 in actual damages and \$5 million in punitive damages. Despite the verdict, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union continue to mix consumers' credit files with other consumers' credit files.

- 19. In 2007, the jury in *Angela Williams v. Equifax Information Services, LLC*, Circuit Court for Orange County Florida, Case No. 48-2003-CA-9035-0, awarded Angela Williams \$219,000 in actual damages and \$2.7 million in punitive damages for willfully violating the FCRA by mixing Angela Williams with another consumer and failing to unmix them despite Ms. Williams' disputes. Despite the verdict, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union continue to mix consumers' credit files with other consumers' credit files.
- 20. In 2013, the jury in *Julie Miller v. Equifax Information Services, LLC*, District of Oregon, Case No. 3:11-cv-01231-BR, awarded Julie Miller \$180,000 in actual damages and \$18.4 million in punitive damages for willfully violating the FCRA by mixing Julie Miller with another consumer and failing to unmix them despite Ms. Millers' numerous disputes. Despite the verdict, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union continue to mix consumers' credit files with other consumers' credit files.
- 21. Most recently, a jury assessed a \$60 million verdict against Trans Union for mixing innocent American citizens with terrorists and drug dealers by matching consumers with the Office of Foreign Asset Control's "terrorist alert" list based on first and last name alone. *See Ramirez v. Trans Union, LLC,* No. 12-CV-00632-JSC, 2017 WL 5153280, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 7, 2017), *aff'd in part, vacated in part,*

rev'd in part sub nom. Ramirez v. TransUnion LLC, 951 F.3d 1008 (9th Cir. 2020). Despite the verdict, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union continue to mix consumers' credit files with other consumers' credit files.

- 22. "Evidence that a defendant has repeatedly engaged in prohibited conduct while knowing or suspecting that it was unlawful would provide relevant support for an argument that strong medicine is required to cure the defendant's disrespect for the law." *Dalton v. CAI*, 257 F.3d 409, 418 (4th Cir. 2001) (noting that whether "other consumers have lodged complaints similar to Dalton's against CAI" is relevant to willfulness under the FCRA). Moreover, repeated noncompliance with statutory duties can establish that the defendants acted willfully. *See Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Burr*, 551 U.S. 47, 53 (2007) (punitive damages can be awarded based on "reckless disregard for a statutory duty").
- 23. No less than three federal Courts of Appeal have held a consumer reporting agency violates 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and may be found to have willfully violated the FCRA when it mixes a consumer's file with another consumer.
- 24. Finally, the Federal Trade Commission has specifically warned consumer reporting agencies, including Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union, to review their procedures when a mixed file case occurs.

25. Despite federal and state law, Congressional mandate, federal and state enforcement actions, and thousands of consumer lawsuits, mixed credit files remain a significant problem for innocent consumers, including Plaintiff.

### **THE PARTIES**

- 26. Plaintiff Christine Revelle LaRue ("Plaintiff") is a natural person who resides in the State of South Carolina, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 27. Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC ("Equifax") is a limited liability company that resides in the State of Georgia, including in this District.
- 28. Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Equifax is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disseminating information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d) to third parties.
- 29. Defendant Trans Union, LLC ("Trans Union") is a limited liability company that resides in the State of Georgia, including in this District.
- 30. Trans Union is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Trans Union is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disseminating information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d) to third parties.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 31. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, which allows claims under the FCRA to be brought in any appropriate court of competent jurisdiction.
- 32. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

#### **FACTS**

#### **Summary of the Fair Credit Reporting Act**

- 33. The FCRA governs the conduct of consumer reporting agencies in an effort to preserve the integrity of the consumer banking system and to protect the rights of consumers to fairness and accuracy in the reporting of their credit information.
- 34. The purpose of the FCRA is to require consumer reporting agencies to "adopt reasonable procedures for meeting the needs of commerce for consumer credit, personal, insurance, and other information in a manner which is fair and equitable to the consumer, with regard to the confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization of such information..." 15 U.S.C. § 1681(b).

35. The FCRA further requires that when preparing consumer reports a consumer reporting agency must follow "reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

### The Credit Bureau Defendants' Processing of Credit Information

- 36. Equifax and Trans Union regularly receives information from various sources around the country including banks, credit unions, automobile dealers, student loan providers, public information vendors, and others.
- 37. These sources are known as "furnishers" within the credit reporting industry and under the FCRA.
- 38. Equifax and Trans Union collects information from thousands of furnishers.
- 39. The process by which Equifax and Trans Union receives, sorts, and stores information is largely electronic.
- 40. Furnishers report credit information to Equifax and Trans Union through the use of coded tapes that are transmitted to Equifax and Trans Union on a monthly basis through software known as Metro 2.
- 41. Equifax and Trans Union takes the credit information reported by furnishers and create consumer credit files.

- 42. Equifax and Trans Union maintains credit files on more than 200 million consumers.
- 43. Credit files are updated electronically by the furnishers to reflect new information regarding the reported accounts (sometimes referred to within the industry as "tradelines").

#### **Defendants' Mixed File Problem**

- 44. Equifax and Trans Union know that different consumers can have similar names.
- 45. Equifax and Trans Union know that different consumers can have similar Social Security numbers.
- 46. Equifax and Trans Union know that different consumers with similar names can also have similar Social Security numbers.
- 47. Equifax and Trans Union know that public records often do not contain identifying information such as Social Security numbers or dates of birth.
- 48. Equifax and Trans Union match tradelines and public records to a consumer credit file by comparing the information about the consumer associated with the tradeline or public record to the information they maintain about the consumer in the consumer's credit file or files.

- 49. Equifax and Trans Union accomplishes this matching of credit information to consumer credit files through the use of certain matching algorithms or database rules.
- 50. Sometimes Equifax's and Trans Union's matching algorithms match information belonging to one consumer to the credit file of another consumer; resulting in what is commonly known in the industry as a mixed or merged credit file.
- 51. Mixed files are not a new phenomenon. In fact, as long ago as the early 1990s, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") (the government agency charged with enforcement of the FCRA), entered into individual Consent Decrees with each of the three major CRAs, Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union, regarding their significant failures and deficiencies with respect to mixed files.
- 52. Despite Equifax's and Trans Union's long-standing and specific knowledge of the mixed file problem, Plaintiff's credit report was still generated by Equifax and Trans Union containing information belonging to another consumer.
- 53. A mixed or merged credit file is the result of Equifax and Trans Union inaccurately mixing personal identifying information and credit information and/or an entire credit file belonging to one consumer into the credit file of another consumer.

- 54. There are many different possible causes for the mixing of credit files but all of them relate in one way or another to the algorithms (the database rules) used by Equifax and Trans Union to match personal identifying information and credit information, including public record information, to a particular consumer's credit file.
- 55. The success or failure of these algorithms and rules is both a function of the rules themselves and of the information provided by the furnishers of the tradeline information to Equifax and Trans Union.
- 56. A mixed consumer report could be caused by an improper algorithm just as it could be caused by the inaccurate reporting of a consumer's personal "indicative" information (e.g., name, Social Security number, address, date of birth, etc.) by the furnishers to Equifax and Trans Union.
- 57. These rules also determine which credit files are selected by the algorithm and merged to create a complete consumer report.
- 58. Therefore, a mixed consumer report is sometimes the result of the mixing of two or more consumer credit files belonging to different consumers into one consumer report.

Defendants' Practices Concerning the Sale of Credit Reports on the "Deceased"

- 59. The three main credit bureaus, including Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union, sell millions of consumer reports (often called "credit reports" or "reports") per day, and also sell credit scores.
- 60. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b), consumer reporting agencies, like Equifax and Trans Union, are required "to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates."
- 61. Equifax and Trans Union routinely place a "deceased" notation or marking on reports when it is advised by any of its data furnishing sources (such as banks, debt collectors, etc.) that a given consumer is deceased.
- 62. Equifax's and Trans Union's furnishing sources identify "deceased" consumers by marking the "status" of such consumer's responsibility for any subject account with an "X" or "U" code in the "ECOA" field of an electronic data input format used in the credit reporting industry, known as Metro or Metro 2. Equifax and Trans Union do not request or require a death certificate from any of its data sources, which advise that a consumer is "deceased" before placing a "deceased" mark in that consumer's credit file.
- 63. Equifax and Trans Union also do not request or require any proof from any data source, which advises that a consumer is "deceased," showing that the

consumer is in fact deceased before placing a "deceased" mark on that consumer's report.

- 64. Equifax and Trans Union do not independently verify with any source that a consumer is in fact deceased before placing a "deceased" mark on that consumer's report.
- 65. In some cases, in order to assure accuracy, Equifax and Trans Union may send letters and/or other communications to consumers when certain information that may be considered suspicious or unreliable is furnished about said consumers to be placed in their credit files, such as in cases where consumers have a freeze or fraud alert on their credit report, or in accordance with certain state laws, such as the consumer laws of Colorado. Equifax and Trans Union do not have any procedure to notify consumers (such as a next of kin or executor or administrator of the consumer's estate) when an "X" deceased code or "U" undesignated code is furnished to them to be placed in said consumer's credit file or report.
- 66. Equifax and Trans Union regularly receive the "Death Master File" from the Social Security Administration, listing by Social Security number those consumers that the government believes to be deceased. But Equifax and Trans Union do not cross-reference the "X" or "U" code received from data furnishers with the Death Master File in order to determine whether any given consumer reported as

deceased via a furnishing source is also on the Death Master File before selling a credit report about said consumer, or at any time.

- 67. Equifax and Trans Union will only use the Death Master File to sell additional products for an additional fee, which are designed to show whether a given consumer is truly deceased.
- 68. Equifax and Union do not employ any procedures *at all* to assure that a consumer with a "deceased" mark on his/her report is in fact actually deceased before placing the "deceased" mark on that consumer's report and selling that report for profit.
- 69. Even in instances where other data on the face of the consumer's report indicates that he/she is not deceased, Equifax and Trans Union do not employ any procedures to assure that a consumer with a "deceased" mark on his/her report is in fact actually deceased before placing the "deceased" mark in that consumer's file.
- 70. Even in instances where the purportedly deceased consumer communicates directly with Equifax and Trans Union, Equifax and Trans Union do not employ any procedures to assure that a consumer with a "deceased" mark on his/her report is in fact actually deceased before placing the "deceased" mark on that consumer's report.

- 71. Once a "deceased" mark is placed upon a consumer's report, Equifax and Trans Union will not calculate and will not provide a credit score for that consumer. Rather, Equifax and Trans Union will report that the consumer's credit score is "N/A."
- 72. Equifax and Trans Union know that third party credit issuers require a credit score in order to process a given credit application.
- 73. Equifax and Trans Union know that consumers without credit scores are unable to secure any credit from most credit issuers.
- 74. Equifax and Trans Union know that living consumers are routinely turned down for credit specifically because they are reporting them as "deceased" and without a credit score.
- 75. Equifax and Trans Union have been put on notice for years through consumer disputes and lawsuits that living, breathing consumers are turned down for credit specifically because the credit bureau defendants are inaccurately reporting them as "deceased" and without a credit score.
- 76. Equifax and Trans Union have received and documented many disputes from consumers complaining that their credit reports had them erroneously marked as "deceased."

- 77. Equifax and Trans Union know that thousands of consumers are erroneously marked as "deceased" on their credit reports via an erroneous furnishing of the "X" or "U" code, even when said consumers are not on the Death Master File and are in fact alive.
- 78. Nevertheless, Equifax and Trans Union do not employ any procedures to assure that a consumer marked as "deceased" on their credit reports are in fact deceased.
- 79. Equifax and Trans Union do not have any independent procedure to change an erroneous deceased status on its own and will merely parrot the furnishing source in the case of a reinvestigation into the accuracy of the deceased status upon a consumer's report, a reinvestigation which is triggered by a consumer dispute.
- 80. Nor do Equifax and Trans Union employ any procedures to limit or stop the furnishing of reports to third parties for consumers that it has marked as "deceased" under any circumstances.
- 81. For years after a consumer's actual death, Equifax and Trans Union will continue to sell credit reports about that consumer.
- 82. Equifax and Trans Union will only remove a deceased consumer's file from their respective credit reporting databases when it is no longer valuable to them—meaning that no one is continuing to purchase reports about that consumer.

- 83. Equifax and Trans Union charge third parties a fee for reports with a mark that a consumer is deceased ("reports on the deceased") as they would for any other report.
- 84. Equifax and Trans Union profit from the sale of reports on deceased consumers.
- 85. Equifax and Trans Union have in their respective credit reporting databases many "deceased" tradelines corresponding to distinct credit files for individual consumers that they have marked as "deceased."
- 86. Equifax and Trans Union know that truly deceased consumers do not apply for credit.
- 87. Equifax and Trans Union know that the credit information and reports of truly deceased persons are used by criminals to commit identity theft or credit fraud. Indeed, identity theft using the personal identifying information of deceased consumers is known to Equifax and Trans Union to be a common and major source of identity theft.
- 88. Equifax and Trans Union know that identity theft and credit fraud are serious and widespread problems in our society.
- 89. Equifax and Trans Union warn the relatives of truly deceased consumers that identity theft can be committed using the credit reports and

information of the deceased, and require relatives to provide a death certificate or executorship papers, among other forms of proof, before accessing the deceased consumer's credit information or report.

- 90. Equifax and Trans Union have no similar death certificate, executorship paper, or any other proof requirements for its data sources, which report a consumer as deceased or for the purchasers of their reports who access the purportedly deceased consumer's information.
- 91. Equifax and Trans Union sell reports on supposedly deceased consumers to third parties in an automated fashion and without any specific or general certification that could reasonably explain a "permissible purpose" for purchasing or using a (supposedly) deceased consumer's credit history and/or report.
- 92. For consumers who are deceased, there rarely, if ever, exists a permissible purpose under the FCRA for Equifax and Trans Union to sell credit reports, absent a court order.
- 93. Equifax and Trans Union know that such reports contain a vast amount of personal identifying and credit account information on the supposedly deceased consumer, information that can be used to commit identity theft or for other fraudulent purposes.

Synchrony Bank Denies Plaintiff Credit Due to Defendant Trans Union's

**Inaccurate Credit Reporting on June 23, 2021** 

In or about June 23, 2021, Plaintiff attempted to obtain credit for a 94.

credit card and submitted a credit application.

Shortly thereafter, in or about June 23, 2021, Synchrony Bank denied 95.

Plaintiff's credit application based upon the contents of Plaintiff's credit report.

Specifically, Trans Union was reporting deceased on Plaintiff's credit 96.

report.

Plaintiff takes great pride in Plaintiff's good name and established

credit rating and works hard to ensure that the bills are paid in-full and on-time

each month. Plaintiff believes and understands that Plaintiff's credit record with

creditors is good, so Plaintiff could not imagine how Plaintiff's credit application

had been denied.

Plaintiff Sees that Defendant Trans Union is Reporting Deceased on June 23,

2021

As of June 23, 2021, a deceased notation was reflected in the Personal 98.

Information listed on Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report:

Deceased Date: 01/01/1988

22

99. As of June 23, 2021, the above-referenced entry was reporting inaccurately in Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report because Plaintiff is not deceased.

# Plaintiff Sees that Defendant Equifax is Reporting Inaccuracies on June 23, 2021

100. As of June 23, 2021, inaccurate undesignated notations were listed on Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report:

JP Morgan Chase Bank Card Services Account Status: Undesignated

CitiCards / CitiBank NA Account Status: Undesignated

JP Morgan Chase Bank Card Services Account Status: Undesignated

101. As of June 23, 2021, the above-referenced entries were reporting inaccurately in Plaintiff's Equifax credit report.

## Plaintiff's Dispute with Trans Union on July 6, 2021

102. On or about July 6, 2021, feeling shocked, surprised, and embarrassed because of Defendant's inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff mailed a written dispute via certified mail to Trans Union, disputing the deceased notation in Plaintiff's credit

report. Plaintiff requested that Trans Union reinvestigate the disputed information, correct the reporting, and send corrected copies of Plaintiff's credit report.

103. Plaintiff's July 6, 2021 dispute specifically included Plaintiff's full name, date of birth, Social Security number, and current address so that the credit bureaus would be able to properly identify and locate Plaintiff's credit file.

# The Credit Bureaus' Method for Considering Consumer Credit Report Disputes

- 104. The credit industry has constructed a method of numeric-alpha codes for considering consumer credit report disputes. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(D).
- 105. The credit bureaus, Equifax, Experian, Trans Union, and Innovis, have thus created the Online Solution for Complete and Accurate Reporting, or e-OSCAR, as the credit industries' standard of performance. e-OSCAR allows the credit bureaus to create and data furnishers to respond to disputes initiated by consumers by routing credit reporting agency-created prompts for automated consumer dispute verifications to the appropriate data furnishers. e-OSCAR utilizes a numeric-alpha language specific to the credit reporting industry.
- 106. That lexicon or unique language is commonly referred to in the credit reporting industry as "Metro II." It is also known industry wide as the CDIA's "Credit Reporting Resource Guide."

- 107. Metro II is driven by numeric codes that translate into specific alpha representations about consumers' creditworthiness and character that will ultimately appear on credit reports issued to third parties who make credit, insurance, rental, and employment decisions regarding consumers.
- 108. Metro II codes are used on an industry wide form known within the credit industry as an Automated Consumer Dispute Verification ("ACDV") electronic form.
- 109. The ACDVs have many fields in their body for use in effecting thorough and complete communications between data furnishers and the credit reporting agencies.
- 110. These ACDV "fields" have various titles for the many substantive areas into which the Metro II codes can be entered.
- 111. Upon receiving a dispute from a consumer, the credit bureaus have an automated system that prepares ACDVs that are sent to each of the data furnishers that are reporting the credit accounts disputed by a consumer.
- 112. The data furnishers then have an obligation under the FCRA to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation with respect to the disputed credit account and review all relevant information provided by the consumer with the dispute to determine

whether the disputed credit account information is accurate and/or belongs to the disputing consumer. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).

ACDV accordingly, representing either that the disputed account was verified as accurate and belonging to the disputing consumer, updating information related to the account, or deleting the account entirely, and return the ACDV to the respective credit bureau(s) via e-OSCAR.

## Trans Union's Response to Plaintiff's July 2021 Dispute

- 114. Defendant Trans Union did not respond to Plaintiff's dispute.
- 115. Defendant Trans Union did not indicate that Plaintiff's dispute was found to be frivolous or irrelevant.
- 116. Defendant Trans Union failed to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of Plaintiff's dispute, or any reinvestigation whatsoever, to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate and record the current status of the disputed information, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).

Plaintiff Sees that Defendant Trans Union is Reporting Deceased on July 19, 2021

117. As of July 19, 2021, a deceased notation was reflected in the Personal

Information listed on Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report:

Deceased Date: 01/01/1988

118. As of July 19, 2021, the above-referenced entry was reporting

inaccurately in Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report because Plaintiff is not deceased.

Plaintiff's Dispute with Trans Union on August 24, 2021

119. On or about August 24, 2021, feeling even more shocked, surprised,

and embarrassed because of Defendant's inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff mailed a

written dispute via certified mail to Trans Union, disputing the deceased notation in

Plaintiff's credit report. Plaintiff requested that Trans Union reinvestigate the

disputed information, correct the reporting, and send corrected copies of Plaintiff's

credit report.

120. Plaintiff's August 24, 2021 dispute specifically included Plaintiff's full

name, date of birth, Social Security number, and current address so that the credit

bureaus would be able to properly identify and locate Plaintiff's credit file.

Trans Union's Response to Plaintiff's August 2021 Dispute

121. Defendant Trans Union did not respond to Plaintiff's dispute.

27

- 122. Defendant Trans Union did not indicate that Plaintiff's dispute was found to be frivolous or irrelevant.
- 123. Defendant Trans Union failed to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of Plaintiff's dispute, or any reinvestigation whatsoever, to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate and record the current status of the disputed information, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A).
- 124. Defendant failed to provide the requested credit disclosure in violation of 1681g.

## Plaintiff's Dispute with Trans Union on October 25, 2021

- 125. On or about October 25, 2021, feeling even more shocked, surprised, and embarrassed because of Defendant's inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff mailed a written dispute via certified mail to Trans Union, disputing the deceased notation in Plaintiff's credit report. Plaintiff requested that Trans Union reinvestigate the disputed information, correct the reporting, and send corrected copies of Plaintiff's credit report.
- 126. Plaintiff's October 25, 2021 dispute specifically included Plaintiff's full name, date of birth, Social Security number, and current address so that the credit bureaus would be able to properly identify and locate Plaintiff's credit file.

# Trans Union's Response to Plaintiff's October 2021 Dispute

- 127. Defendant Trans Union removed the deceased notation from Plaintiff's credit report.
- 128. Equifax and Trans Union maintain/maintained multiple credit files for Plaintiff.
- 129. At least one of Plaintiff's Equifax and Trans Union credit files contain or have contained personal information and credit account information, including a deceased notation, which do not belong or pertain to Plaintiff.
- 130. Equifax's and Trans Union's merging and matching algorithms have caused Plaintiff's credit files to become mixed with personal and credit account information belonging to a different consumer.
- 131. Within the two (2) years previous to the filing of this Complaint, Equifax and Trans Union prepared and distributed one or more consumer reports, as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d), pertaining to Plaintiff that contained misleading and inaccurate information which belongs to another consumer, including without limitation, personal information and credit accounts, which actually belong to a different consumer.

- 132. Equifax and Trans Union failed to maintain and follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the personal and credit account information contained within at least one of the credit files they maintain for Plaintiff.
- 133. Equifax and Trans Union know that their matching algorithms are flawed and frequently result in mixed information and credit files belonging to two different consumers.
- 134. Equifax and Trans Union have been sued thousands of times by consumers and suffered judgments as a result of mixing consumer credit information and credit files.
- 135. When Equifax and Trans Union assemble consumer reports for their subscribers, they allow such subscribers to use only a partial list of personal identifiers to match data to the target consumer resulting in the inclusion of a broad range of credit information; information which may in some cases, like the instant matter, belong to another consumer.
- 136. Equifax and Trans Union also fail to require an exact match of all digits of a consumer's Social Security number, which may in some cases result in the inclusion of credit information which belongs to another consumer.

- 137. However, when consumers like Plaintiff request copies of their credit files, Equifax and Trans Union require a complete match of all personal identifiers, resulting in a narrower match of data for the consumer.
- 138. Consequently, Equifax's and Trans Union's own procedures for disclosing information to consumers, as it is required to do by the FCRA, tend to mask or conceal the problem of mixed files.
- 139. By concealing this information, Equifax and Trans Union impair the ability of consumers, like Plaintiff, to identify and dispute errors resulting from mixed credit information or credit files.
- 140. Equifax and Trans Union have failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit files and of Plaintiff's consumer reports, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).
- 141. Equifax's and Trans Union's failures to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit files and of Plaintiff's consumer reports was negligent and/or willful.

# **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

COUNT I
15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b)
Failure to Follow Reasonable Procedures to Assure Maximum Possible
Accuracy
(First Claim for Relief Against Defendants)

- 142. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-141 as if fully stated herein.
- 143. Defendants Equifax and Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and credit files they published and maintain concerning Plaintiff.
- 144. As a result of Defendants Equifax's and Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit; loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from Plaintiff's credit; detriment to Plaintiff's credit rating; the expenditure of time and money disputing and trying to correct the inaccurate credit reporting; and emotional distress including the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials and having another consumers' personal and credit account information mixed in Plaintiff's credit file.
- 145. Defendants Equifax's and Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction was willful, rendering them each separately liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

146. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs from Defendants Equifax and Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or § 1681o.

## COUNT II 15 U.S.C. § 1681i

# Failure to Perform a Reasonable Reinvestigation (Second Claim for Relief Against Defendants Equifax and Trans Union)

- 147. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-141 as if fully stated herein.
- 148. Defendants Equifax and Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i by failing to delete inaccurate information in Plaintiff's credit file after they received a notice of such inaccuracies; by failing to conduct a lawful reinvestigation; and by failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to filter and verify disputed information in Plaintiff's credit files.
- 149. As a result of Defendants Equifax's and Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction, Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit; loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from Plaintiff's credit; detriment to Plaintiff's credit rating; the expenditure of time and money disputing and trying to correct the inaccurate credit reporting; and emotional distress including the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denials and having another consumers' personal and credit account information mixed in Plaintiff's credit file.

- 150. Defendants Equifax's and Trans Union's conduct, action, and inaction was willful, rendering them liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 151. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs from Defendants Equifax and Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or § 1681o.

#### **COUNT III**

## 15 U.S.C. § 1681g

#### Failure to Provide Disclosures to Plaintiff

- 152. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-141 as if fully stated herein.
- 153. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681g by failing to provide Plaintiff's credit disclosure after each request.
- 154. As a result of Defendants' conduct, action, and inaction, Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit; loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from Plaintiff's credit; detriment to Plaintiff's credit rating; the expenditure of time and money disputing and trying to correct the inaccurate credit reporting; and emotional

distress including the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment.

- 155. Defendants' conduct, action, and inaction was willful, rendering them each separately liable for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 156. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs from Defendants in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or § 1681o.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- a) Determining that Defendants negligently and/or willfully violated the FCRA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, statutory, and punitive damages as provided by the FCRA;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs from

  Defendants as provided by the FCRA; and

d) Granting further relief, in law or equity, as this Court may deem appropriate and just.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

157. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Dated: June 9, 2023

/s/ Joseph P. McClelland
Joseph P. McClelland
LAW FIRM OF JOSEPH P.
MCCLELLAND, LLC
Georgia Bar No: 483407
235 East Ponce de Leon Avenue,
Suite 215
Decatur, GA 30030
Telephone: (770) 775-0938
Fax: (470) 468-0070
Email: joseph@jacksonlaws.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF